Ask Athena: Editorial Disclosures and Editor Authors

Athena was the Greek goddess of wisdom. Ancient Greeks would visit her temple in Athens to seek answers to their most troubling issues. Modern times are no less complicated, and lacking pilgrimage to a temple as an option, we turn to other sources for advice. This may mean a friend, a therapist, or perhaps... an advice column.

Ask Athena is Science Editor’s advice column where you can bring your most challenging questions. Have a problem managing staff? Ask Athena! Struggling with your own performance rut? Ask Athena! Need ideas to make your publication the best it can be? Athena can help with that too. This column will address all questions related to publishing, whether they be about internal office issues or external journal wide challenges.

Submit your questions to scienceeditor@councilscienceeditors.org. All questions can remain anonymous, so you need not reveal your identity for sensitive issues. We will attempt to answer them as quickly as we can, and post answers online ahead of print so that time sensitive questions are not delayed.

Ask Athena: Should disclosures be listed for editorials?

Dear Athena,

For guest editorials, what language do you use/suggest for a disclosure statement, or is a disclosure not necessary? Would the following be appropriate (as an example) or does some of the wording not apply to opinion pieces (funding, etc.)?

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. None of the authors received outside funding for the production of this original manuscript and no part of this article has been previously published elsewhere.

Cordially,
Confused about Conflicts

Dear Confused about Conflicts,

Thank you for asking this important question. I appreciate you wanting to be sure that disclosures and funding are handled appropriately in your editorials. I recommend erring on the side of caution and including a disclosure statement on every article your journal publishes, regardless of article type. If your journal does not have a disclosure policy, I encourage you to review the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) policy and consider using their form, which can also be adapted to fields beyond the biomedical realm: (http://www.icmje.org/recommendations/browse/roles-and-responsibilities/author-responsibilities–conflicts-of-interest.html). The form will help guide authors through determining relevancy for each article.

Likewise, if your author received funding to write the editorial, you should include the funding information. Funders usually require authors to include a statement acknowledging their funding support, and it is important for you to help with author compliance. Most submission systems include an integrated option for authors to easily provide their funder name as it relates to each article they write.

Ask Athena: How can I avoid editor conflicts of interest with double-anonymous review?

Dear Athena,

At our journal, we conduct double-anonymous reviews, so the identity of the authors is unknown to the reviewers and vice-versa. However, our editor in chief is still an active
author in the field, and sometimes submits articles to our journal. What process do you recommend when the editor in chief is a coauthor of a submitted manuscript? Our editor in chief also approves all final decisions, so if an article is recommended for publication by the reviewers, who would approve that decision?

Sincerely yours,
Struggling with a Peer Review Question

Dear Struggling,

Double anonymous peer review definitely has its benefits, but it doesn’t solve every problem, as your question demonstrates. In this case, you are wise to consider how to ensure it is clear to readers that conflict of interest was avoided, and the editor in chief (EIC) did not have a role in the evaluation and acceptance of his own paper.

First, if you are using any of the major manuscript submission systems, you probably have the option to block editors from viewing particular papers. Make sure you are utilizing that feature, so that the editor can’t see his paper in the submission system.

Next, as is recommended regardless of the type of peer review, appoint a different editor to oversee any papers where the EIC may have a conflict. That person will oversee the review process, but more importantly, will have the final decision-making power for any paper on which the EIC is an author. If you have a deputy editor or another editor with similar expertise, that person would be a good choice for this role. Again, if you are using one of the common submission systems, this should be a fairly simple matter.

Finally, make sure you make it clear to readers that you have this policy in place. Some journals explain their policy on their website, usually with the instructions to authors. I also recommend coming up with a standard disclaimer to include with any published paper that includes an editor or editorial board member in the author list. It can be a fairly simple statement along the lines of, “Dr. Jones is Editor in Chief of Journal of Important Research. Journal policy recused the author from having any role in the peer review of this manuscript.”

These simple steps can help you avoid conflict of interest for the EIC and also assure your readers that the journal has a policy in place to minimize an opportunity for bias in peer review.

Ask Athena: How Do I Correctly Apply British vs. American Spelling?

Dear Athena,

I have two questions, the answers for which I can’t locate in the AMA Manual of Style. Should we use the spelling Programme or Program in the following?

UN Joint Global Programme on Cervical Cancer Prevention and Control

Likewise, should we use Centres or Centers in the name Directory of Radiotherapy Centres?

—Looking Across the Pond

Dear Looking Across the Pond,

When it comes to English-language spellings of institution names, a widely accepted rule of thumb is to follow the official spelling of that institution rather than impose house style on the spelling. The quickest and most accurate means of determining the official spelling is to consult the institution’s website. For the two institutions in question, an online search confirms that the British spellings Programme and Centres are used in the official names of these institutions—so these spellings should be applied whenever either institution is mentioned, even if your house style is to apply U.S. spelling.

Similar exceptions should be made for published material, both in text and in an end reference. For example, if The Lancet article “Study of Mirtazapine for Agitated Behaviours in Dementia (SYMBAD): A Randomised, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial”1 were to be cited in a journal that prefers U.S. spelling, the British spellings Behaviours and Randomised would need to be retained in the citation nonetheless to preserve the accuracy of content that was formally published in a UK-based journal. Similarly, a citation of the article “The Development of a Standardized Neighborhood Deprivation Index” in The Lancet would mandate the U.S. spellings of the words Standardized and Neighborhood (as opposed to the British spellings Standardised and Neighbourhood) given that these were the spellings used in the article’s original publication in the U.S.-based Journal of Urban Health.2

Note, however, that the aforementioned exceptions should generally be limited to titles and institutional names within a given article—meaning if your house style requires that you enforce U.S. spelling, you should continue to apply those rules elsewhere rather than apply nonstandard spellings globally to achieve consistency. For example:

This year’s program will include a presentation by a representative from the UN Joint Global Programme on Cervical Cancer Prevention and Control.

The Directory of Radiotherapy Centres is at the center of a collaborative effort to advance radiotherapy techniques.

Finally, be mindful that the same principle applies to other grammar rules. For example, the AMA Manual of Style cites a preference for the nonpossessive form of eponymous diseases and disorders, which means that Alzheimer disease...
(rather than Alzheimer’s disease) would be the appropriate form in a document that adheres to AMA style; however, because the Alzheimer’s Association uses the possessive form in its official name, any references to it in that same document should retain the possessive form.3 Similarly, hyphenation should not be altered in institution names or titles if doing so alters the official name or title. For example, when referencing the AIDS Education & Training Center Program’s Non-occupational Post-exposure Prophylaxis (nPEP) Toolkit, Merriam-Webster disciples may be tempted to uncouple the prefixes “Non-” and “Post-” from their hyphens; however, the hyphens must be retained to reflect the official name of the toolkit,4 regardless of whether the unhyphenated forms nonoccupational and postexposure appear in different contexts elsewhere the same document.

In short: When in doubt about the proper spelling of an entity’s name, look to the entity itself.
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